REPORT TO:	CABINET 6 July 2022 COUNCIL 25 July 2022
SUBJECT:	South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) - Boundary Change and Adoption of Supplementary Planning Document
LEAD OFFICER:	Nick Hibberd – Corporate Director of Sustainable Communities, Regeneration and Economic Recovery
	Heather Cheesbrough, Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration
	Steve Dennington - Head of Spatial Planning (& Interim Head of Growth and Regeneration)
CABINET MEMBER:	Cllr Jeet Bains -Cabinet Member for Planning and Regeneration
WARDS:	South Norwood and Woodside

#### **SUMMARY OF REPORT:**

The Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, National Planning Policy Framework, The London Plan 2021 and Local Planning Policy requires Local Authorities to consider designating areas of architectural and historic interest as conservation areas, and to preserve and enhance those areas through identifying their special characteristics and outlining how these can be managed for the future. Historic England recommends that every conservation area has its own Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP).

Croydon Council is in the process of producing or updating CAAMPs for all of the 21 conservation areas currently designated in Croydon. The existing CAAMP for South Norwood was adopted in 2007 and is considered dated as it does not reflect the current condition of the area nor provide sufficient guidance to preserve and enhance its special character. The new South Norwood CAAMP will provide an up-to-date assessment of the area and detailed guidance relating to the management of South Norwood in line with current best practice.

South Norwood Conservation Area is on Historic England's Heritage at Risk register because of its current poor condition. The area was selected in 2020 as one of Historic England's High Street Heritage Action Zones (HSHAZ) – a grant funded scheme that seeks to reinforce the High Street as the vibrant commercial, community and cultural heart of South Norwood through

celebrating local heritage and improving the area's physical appearance. The South Norwood CAAMP is a key part of the HSHAZ programme. Production of the CAAMP by heritage consultants Place Services has been funded through the HSHAZ. The updated CAAMP is an important measure to address the risks to the conservation area and it will support delivery of the rest of the HSHAZ programme, which will build on the findings and recommendations in the CAAMP.

The key anticipated benefits of the use of the CAAMP by applicants, planning officers and the public will be as follows:

- As a material planning consideration when determining planning applications, the CAAMP is likely to lead to an improvement in the quality of the design of developments within the conservation area, to preserve and enhance its special character
- Support Council planning decisions and where applicable be used in defending Council decisions at planning appeals
- The CAAMP will inform applicants of the various aspects of the built environment that make up South Norwood's special character and will provide clear guidance about what types of development may be considered acceptable within the conservation area
- An increase in the efficiency of the planning application process for sites within the conservation area through reference to clear and concise information and guidance
- Increased engagement amongst local residents with the conservation area and local heritage
- Support delivery of the HSHAZ programme.

Once adopted, the CAAMP will be a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to the Croydon Local Plan 2018. As such, the consultation material was subject to public consultation requirements under the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (2018). Additionally, section 71 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 requires proposals set out in a Management Plan of the CAAMP to be subject to consideration at a public meeting.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The adoption of the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) can be funded through the Spatial Planning budget as part of the Spatial Planning, Growth Zone and Regeneration Service budget.

The production of the CAAMP was funded by the Historic England South Norwood High Street Heritage Action Zone budget (£18,000).

# KEY DECISION REFERENCE NO.: Non Key Decision RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Executive Mayor in Cabinet is recommended to

- 1.1 Note the Consultation Statement for the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) (Appendix 2), including the list of comments, responses and (where applicable) amendments at Appendix 1
- 1.2 Recommend Council adopt the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) 2022 (Appendix 2) as a Supplementary Planning Document in accordance with The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, particularly regulation 14; and,
- 1.3 Recommend to Council changes to the South Norwood Conservation Area boundary as set out in this report and in the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) (Appendix 2); and,
- 1.4 Recommend to Council revocation of South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) 2007 (Appendix 3) in accordance with The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, particularly regulation 15(3).
- 1.5 Delegate to the Director of Planning and Sustainable Regeneration, following consultation with the Cabinet Member for Planning & Regeneration, the making of minor factual, editorial and image changes to the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) (Appendix 2) prior to adoption.

# 1. The South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP)

- 1.1. A conservation area is an area of special architectural or historic interest, the character and appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. Conservation areas, like statutory listed buildings, are 'designated heritage assets', of national historic or architectural significance.
- 1.2. The Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan provides a tool to assist in fulfilling the Local Planning Authorities duties as set out in The Act,

- and supported by the evidence-based approach promoted by the National Planning Policy Framework, London Plan 2021 and Croydon Local Plan 2018.
- 1.3. The document is formed of two parts: The Appraisal defines the principal qualities that constitute the conservation area's special character and identifies its current condition and threats. The Management Plan addresses the issues raised in the Appraisal and provides area-specific development guidelines to supplement those provided in Croydon's overarching Supplementary Planning Guidance Conservation Area General Guidance (CAGG).
- 1.4. While development in the borough is directed by the policies set out in the Croydon Local Plan 2018 and London Plan 2021, the guidance in the CAAMP will help local authority officers in making decisions on planning applications, whilst assisting landowners and developers in identifying opportunities to preserve and enhance the special interest of the conservation area. It is supported by Local Plan Policy DM18 which states "All proposals for development must have regard to the development principles in the Conservation Area General Guidance Supplementary Planning Document and Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan Supplementary Planning Documents or equivalent."
- 1.5. The CAAMP will be adopted as an SPD (as defined by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012) to give it weight as a material planning consideration when determining planning applications.
- 1.6. Once adopted, the South Norwood CAAMP will supersede the existing South Norwood CAAMP, which was adopted in 2007. The revocation of the South Norwood CAAMP 2007 is consequently a recommendation. Since the existing CAAMP was produced, demand for housing has increased and National, London and borough planning policy has changed. Historic England guidance on Conservation Areas has also changed. The existing CAAMP is dated and does not provide sufficient information regarding the historical development of South Norwood, the significance of the conservation area and management guidance to protect that significance. The new CAAMP will address these deficiencies.

## **Boundary Review**

- 1.7. As part of the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) Brief, Place Services were instructed to review the conservation area boundary as part of the site analysis stage and make recommendations for any alterations as necessary.
- 1.8. The methodology used to review the boundary was in line with:

Section 69 (1a) of Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 – Every local planning authority shall from time to time determine which parts of their area are areas of special architectural or historic interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance;

Paragraph 191 of the NPPF – When considering the designation of conservation areas, local planning authorities should ensure that an area justifies such status because of its special architectural or historic interest, and that the concept of conservation is not devalued through the designation of areas that lack special interest; and

Statutory guidance produced by Historic England, particularly:

- Historic England Advice Note 1: Conservation Area Designation, Appraisal and Management (HEAN1) (Historic England, 2019)
- Understanding Place: Historic Area Assessments (Historic England, 2017)
- Good Practice Advice in Planning 3: The Setting of Heritage Assets (Historic England, 2017)

In regards to considering areas worthy of designation, HEAN1 states: ... consider whether an area has:

- a) sufficient architectural or historic interest for the area to be considered 'special'?
- b) whether this is experienced through its character or appearance? and
- c) whether it is desirable for that character or appearance to be preserved or enhanced, and what problems designation could help to solve. (page 5)

#### **Boundary Review Process**

1.9. The boundary changes that have been recommended have been included below. Penge Road and Sunnybank were considered, however the buildings in these areas were not found to be of special architectural or historic interest/highly altered, and therefore not included within the proposed amended boundary. The northern and central west side of Penge Road comprises several late nineteenth century terraces. These were considered as part of the boundary as, whilst a number of these have been highly altered, they hold group value. However, they have been separated from the historic core of the conservation area due to the trainline, A road and twentieth century development, as a result, these buildings are not experienced as part of the conservation area and do not contribute to the area's character and appearance. Sunnybank was found to be of little architectural interest with a

high number of infill development. However, the area has been noted for its layout and the historical relationship to the canal, making a positive contribution to the setting. This has been included within the Public Consultation Draft CAAMP (page 12).

1.10. For the larger areas along Whitworth Road, Holmesdale Road and Upper Grove, there were later infill development that resulted in the boundary stopping before these areas. However, it was considered a) It's not clear what distinguishes these from neighbouring streets of the same age and b) Some of the older buildings/areas are in very poor condition to the point where they do not have sufficient special character to warrant the designation.

## **Engagement in the Boundary Process**

- 1.11. The boundary amendments were put forward to the internal CAAMP Board. Feedback was received prior to the Public Consultation Draft being finalised. Based on the feedback received, the residential area located off Portland Road to the south (noted above) was not included and instead highlighted as an important part of the setting.
- 1.12. The consultants and the Council also had early engagement and input from Historic England officers who are working on the HSHAZ project, and key stakeholders in the area, including The Norwood Society, Stanley Arts and People for Portland Road. The early engagement with the key stakeholders took place from July to September 2020 and has included targeted emails and phone calls to introduce the work that was being conducted; an online survey and virtual drop-in session to gain feedback, as well as introduce the recommended boundary changes with requests for any further suggestions; and meeting with a member of The Norwood Society.
- 1.13. Early public engagement also took place at the community festival in July 2021 where members of the public were asked a number of questions regarding the conservation area and what changes they would like to see, including conservation area boundary changes.
- 1.14. A number of recommendations were put forward during this early engagement process that were considered further, such as the extension to Portland Road.

#### Recommendations in the CAAMP

1.15. This text is included within CAAMP in section 1.6 (page 4) to explain the rationale behind the boundary changes and the recommended CAAMP boundary can be viewed at page 5:

The Conservation Area boundary has been reviewed during preparation of the Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan. The following boundary changes are recommended to ensure that the designation includes all those areas that are of special interest and contribute to South Norwood's unique character. This review is line with the NPPF guidance on Conservation Areas (paragraph 191) and reflects changing methodologies of good practice.

#### **Proposed Additions**

- 1.16. Holmesdale Road: This addition takes in the Royal Mail delivery office (first shown on the 1898 OS Map), the South Norwood Baptist Church (founded in 1887, although the Church was rebuilt in 2007), the row of nineteenth century locally listed buildings, and the stretch of distinctive houses on Holmesdale Road and Close, laid out by the 1944 OS map and built by the 1954 OS map. The Royal Mail Delivery Office is a red brick building with large windows and a decorated arched entrance on its south western end. The South Norwood Baptist Church is unusual and modern in its massing, however, adds interest to the streetscape and uses red brick as its predominant building material. The nineteenth-century houses are semi-detached, and two and a half storeys in height, built in London stock brick with red brick detail. The twentiethcentury houses on Holmesdale Road and Close are all two storeys in height with red brick ground floors and plastered second floor and bay frontages. They all are consistent in their style and configuration, with external brick staircases. These residential, church and Royal Mail buildings are all of merit, for their historic interest, historic and current ecclesiastical use, or architectural/aesthetic interest.
- 1.17. Lawrence Road: The south side of this road is well preserved and first shown on the 1898 OS Map and is of historic/architectural interest. It comprises of large detached residential buildings, well proportioned, and evenly situated within their plots and all two storeys in height. The houses have retained architectural detailing such as decorated eaves, stucco window surrounds, and overhanging porches. Each house has a generous front garden plot, often planted with hedge borders and shrubs, with plots defined by brick boundary walls. The consistency in style across this group, as well as the rhythm they create along the streetscape, makes a positive contribution to the Residential Character Area, and it is of high enough merit to include within the boundary.
- 1.18. Number 3 Cargreen Road: This is an early street to have been developed within the area and is shown as built on the 1879 OS map. The majority of buildings on the street have been substantially altered, but Number 3 has retained much of its historic character and features and is a positive contributor. It is three storeys in height (with a basement level), with a painted render façade. It has banding at first floor level, and its central second floor

windows are topped with decorative cornices. Timber porches are located at each front door, which is accessed by steps. Due to its architectural merit, age, and prominence along the streetscape, it is considered that it should be located within the boundary of the Conservation Area.

- 1.19. The Goods shed and station platforms: These are important buildings and features of the Station, which are of group value and it is recommended that they are all included within the boundary so that they can be managed effectively as a group. The goods shed is located just south of the station forecourt and was built just a few years after the station opened in 1859. It is a large building, built in London stock brick, and has retained architectural detailing which make it recognisable as a railway building. It is now used as railway offices.
- 1.20. 5 Portland Road: The Portland Road addition will take in more of the commercial high street and end at the locally listed building, the Mission Hall. It incorporates a stretch of buildings, which are currently recognised as being a local area of interest. The buildings are predominantly built in red brick, with some London stock brick, and continue a strong sense of enclosure ,rhythm and vitality along the high street. Buildings range between two to three storeys in height, and most included shopfronts at ground floor level, some of which have retained historic detailing of interest. Due to the group value of these buildings and shopfronts, and the positive contribution they make to the character of the Conservation Area, they are recommended for inclusion within the boundary.
- 1.21. Albert Road: This extension would take in residential terraces which have retained their historic character and are of aesthetic interest. To the south of the road, this includes a small, terraced row of houses, which are two storeys in height, and are of value as a group. They have retained their front boundary treatments, which also makes a positive contribution to the streetscape. On the north is a group of large, twentieth-century semi-detached houses, which are of architectural merit. They have retained features such as their hung tile projecting bays, and half-timbered gable ends, which are prominent features along the street. Due to the contribution they make, as well as their age and architectural detailing, they are recommended for inclusion within the area.
- 1.22. Warminster Road: This extension would take in the locally listed building at Number 53. The building is in the same style as those at numbers 59 and 61, and so is of group value with these. It has retained its historic character and architectural detailing, including decorative brackets to windowsills and eaves, brick quoins, brick dog-tooth banding, and stucco window surrounds with keystones. Due to the quality of detailing, age, and relationship with similar buildings within the Conservation Area it is recommended for inclusion.

1.23. Lancaster Road: This would take in the three storey residential villas, which make a group and are of historic and aesthetic interest and are first shown on the 1898 OS map. These buildings are of the same date as number 12, which is located within the Conservation Area. They are three and a half storeys in height, with painted render facades, rusticated ground floors, decorated door surrounds, and bracketed eaves. Due to the quality of detailing, age, and relationship with a similar building within the Conservation Area they are recommended for inclusion.

#### **Proposed Reductions**

- 1.24. Part of Chalfont Road: This area was recently redeveloped to provide housing. The site once contained a house in grounds, but the former character and layout is no longer discernible, and the new development is of no particular architectural or historic interest. It is recommended that it is taken out of the boundary and instead considered as part of the setting of the area.
- 1.25. Other areas considered include Doyle Road, Farley Place, Crowther Road, Clifford Road: This segment was highlighted through early public engagement. The area was visited it during the site visit and felt that the residential development has retained some of its historic character, however, it was much altered and therefore lacked the special architectural or historic interest required of conservation area designation. It forms a key part of the setting of the Conservation Area.

#### 2. CONSULTATION RESPONSE

- 2.1 As per the requirements for public participation in Regulation 12 and 35 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, undertook a formal consultation. This follows informal engagement with local residents' groups and the local community, which informed production of the draft CAAMP. Full details of the consultation process and responses are in Appendix 1.
- 2.2 A Public consultation, authorised under decision 6521CR, was held for a six-week period from 6 January 2022 until 17 February 2022. The consultation draft South Norwood CAAMP can be viewed as a background document. During this time, there was be an in-person consultation meeting in the conservation area to engage residents, businesses, developers and interested

parties on the draft CAAMP and proposed boundary changes.

2.3 The Draft South Norwood CAAMP consultation was in accordance with the Town Planning Regulations and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (2019). Notification was posted to all properties in the conservation area and the consultation was publicised using newspaper advertisements, social media and the Council's website, giving details of the consultation period, the consultation meeting, where the document can be viewed and how to get involved. An online questionnaire was made available which contained questions on the proposal and an opportunity to comment directly.

#### **Responses Summary**

#### Questionnaire Response

2.4 An online questionnaire generated **68** responses to a series of questions.

In response to the following question "Please rate the extent of how much you support or do not support the proposed changes to the boundary of South Norwood Conservation Area" the responses where as follows:

**Strongly Support – 33** 

Somewhat Support - 26

Neutral - 5

Somewhat do not support -1

Strongly do not support - 4

- 2.5 This indicates an 86% support rate for the CAAMP among respondents.

  Specific comments received from the questionnaire related to the following topics
  - Perceived state of decline
  - Other areas or outside the proposed boundary should be included
  - The area needs investment
  - High levels of traffic and pollution from buses
  - Area should be made more pedestrian friendly
  - The brutalist South Norwood Library should be protected
- 2.6 **Historic England** responded positively to the document and full details can

be found in Appendix 1. They agree with the proposed revised boundary and the methodology which was used to define it. Some specific comments related to technical details were included and amendments were made to the document. It was also noted by Historic England that more emphasis on the condition of the area should be included and therefore Section 7 of the document has been enhanced.

- 2.7 **Natural England** responded to say they have no comment.
- 2.8 The Norwood Society and the North Croydon Conservation Area Advisory Panel submitted a joint representation. This representation provided detailed historical and factual information and amendments have been made to the document to reflect this. They also recommended extending the boundary to include the following areas; 4&16 Cargreen Road, 69-85 Albert Rd (note: these are being added), Percy and Sidney Rds, South Norwood Rec. A general comment about the procedure for NCCAP engaging with applications was also made and whilst this does not form part of the CAAMP officers will follow up to discuss this matter with the NCCAP.

#### Council response to main issues raised during Consultation

- 2.9 Further to the detail provided in section 1.9 1.25 of this report, it is recommended that the proposed boundary is justified and derived from a sound methodology, a view that is also supported by Historic England. Nonetheless, it is recognised that many also value surrounding roads and open spaces such as South Norwood Recreation Ground which is protected by existing open space policies in the Croydon Local Plan. In light of this, Section 2.2 of the CAAMP makes detailed reference to surrounding areas as forming part of the setting of the Conservation Area, and this can form a material consideration in the determination of planning applications where there is potential impact on the character and appearance of the Conservation Area.
- 2.10 A significant amount of historical information was provided by both the South Norwood Society and the North Croydon Conservation Area Advisory Panel. This information has been added to the CAAMP.

#### 3. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS/PROPOSED DECISION

3.1 To comply with statutory obligations and to facilitate the preservation and enhancement of South Norwood Conservation Area and support delivery of the South Norwood HSHAZ wider programme.

#### 4. OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

- 4.1 An alternative option would be not adopt the new CAAMP. In relation to the CAAMP, this would mean that the Council would not be meeting its statutory obligations, as outlined above. There would be no up-to-date document to guide development in South Norwood Conservation Area. Planning applications would need to be determined on the basis of existing planning policy and guidance which is not considered to be sufficiently detailed or area specific. It would likely lead to additional resource requirements for preplanning application enquiries, applications and an increase in planning appeals. The special character of the conservation area would continue to be eroded through poorly considered development and incremental change.
- 4.2 On this basis the do-nothing option has been rejected.

#### 5. FINANCIAL AND RISK ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS

## 5.1 Revenue and Capital consequences of report recommendations

The adoption of this has no financial impact in this or future years.

#### 5.2 The effect of the decision

The cost of the adoption of the South Norwood CAAMP will be met from the existing Spatial Planning Service budget.

#### 5.3 **Risks**

There are no identified risks arising directly from this report.

#### 5.4 **Options**

If the South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan is not adopted, then the benefits outlined above will not be realised and the deterioration of the fabric and the erosion of the special character of the conservation area is likely to continue. The proposal should lead to greater engagement with the community in relation to their understanding of the historic environment and support other positive outcomes through the wider South Norwood HSHAZ programme as the funder of this CAAMP.

#### 5.5 Future savings/efficiencies

Officer time required to advise applicants is likely to be reduced due to the provision of better guidance, and create a more efficient pre-planning application service, which could also reduce the resource and cost associated with planning appeals.

Approved by: Gerry Glover, Interim Head of Finance – Sustainable Communities

#### 6 LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- 6.1 The process for the adoption of a Supplementary Planning Document is detailed in the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, particularly regulations 14 and 35. The report sets out that this process has been followed. It is therefore within the authority of the Executive Mayor in Cabinet to recommend the adoption of the Supplementary Planning Document to Full Council as set out in the recommendations.
- 6.2 Approved by: Samra Yunus (Corporate Solicitor) on behalf of Doutimi Aseh, Interim Director of Legal Services and Deputy Monitoring Officer

#### 7 HUMAN RESOURCES IMPACT

7.1 There are no human resources implications arising from this report for Croydon Council employees or staff. If any should arise these will be managed under the Council's Policies and Procedures.

Approved by: Gillian Bevan, Head of HR – Resources and Assistant Chief Executives

#### 8 EQUALITIES IMPACT

- 8.1 The Conservation area has a younger demographic than the UK average. It also has more female residents than males and a high proportion of people from the African and African Caribbean communities. Approximately 15% of residents are disabled.
- 8.2 The consultation was designed to encourage engagement from the diverse communities. Though residents who engaged in the consultation were seen to be diverse, no data was collated to this regard. Officers have since created an action plan to ensure that future consultations collate data on protected characteristics.
- 8.3 Officers noted from DCMS research that young people of Caribbean origin have relatively low levels of heritage engagement; 39% compared to 59% for

young white people. However, there was also a lack of engagement with young people generally. An action plan has been developed to increase engagement further in future.

8.4 An equality impact assessment has been developed and will be utilised as a live working document during the project.

Approved by: Denise Mc Causland Equalities Manager • Policy Programmes and Performance

#### 9 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

- 9.1 A Sustainability Appraisal (SA) was prepared for the Croydon Local Plan 2018. Given that South Norwood CAAMP will provide supplementary guidance to the policies within the Local Plan, it is determined that the Local Plan's SA provides relevant assessment and therefore negates the need for a SA/Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA).
- 9.2 The Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England were consulted on the need for a SA / SEA for the CAAMP. Historic England and Natural England responded and agreed that the production and adoption of South Norwood CAAMP is unlikely to have any significant environmental impacts and therefore a full SA/SEA is not required. The Environment Agency did not respond within the statutory period.

#### 10 CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPACT

10.1 There are no direct crime and disorder reduction impacts arising from the recommendations of this report.

#### 11 DATA PROTECTION IMPLICATIONS

# 11.1 WILL THE SUBJECT OF THE REPORT INVOLVE THE PROCESSING OF 'PERSONAL DATA'? YES

- Contacting individuals who have signed up to the Local Development Framework consultation list, either by email or post. They have consented to be contacted for this purpose.
- Sending letters by post to properties affected by the proposals in the CAAMP.

HAS A DATA PROTECTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT (DPIA) BEEN COMPLETED?

#### YES - reference number 521257

The Corporate Director of Sustainable Communities, Regeneration and Economic Recovery confirms that a DPIA has been completed and is currently under review.

Approved by: Heather Cheesbrough Corporate Director of Sustainable Communities, Regeneration and Economic Recovery

**CONTACT OFFICER:** Steve Dennington - Head of Spatial Planning (& Interim Head of Growth and Regeneration)

#### APPENDICES TO THIS REPORT

- Consultation Statement South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) - 2022
- 2. South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) 2022
- 3. South Norwood Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAAMP) 2007
- 4. EQIA South Norwood CAAMP

#### **BACKGROUND PAPERS - LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972**

- 1. Historic England Advice Note 1: Conservation Area Designation, Appraisal and Management <a href="https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/conservation-area-designation-appraisal-management-advice-note-1/heag040-conservation-area-designation-appraisal-and-management/">https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/conservation-area-designation-appraisal-management-advice-note-1/heag040-conservation-area-designation-appraisal-and-management/</a>
- 2. Historic England Advice Note 7: Local Heritage Listing <a href="https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/local-heritage-listing-advice-note-7/">https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/local-heritage-listing-advice-note-7/</a>
- 3. Croydon Council Conservation Area General Guidance Supplementary Planning Document <a href="https://www.croydon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/articles/downloads/appendix1-">https://www.croydon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/articles/downloads/appendix1-</a>

# cagg.pdf

- 4. Draft South Norwood CAAMP document for consultation in January/ February 2022 <a href="https://www.getinvolved.croydon.gov.uk/public-consultation-on-the-draft-south-norwood-conservation-area-appraisal-and-management-plan?preview=true">https://www.getinvolved.croydon.gov.uk/public-consultation-on-the-draft-south-norwood-conservation-area-appraisal-and-management-plan?preview=true</a>
- 5. Local Plan 2018 EQIA <a href="https://www.croydon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2022-01/clp2-equality-analysis.pdf">https://www.croydon.gov.uk/sites/default/files/2022-01/clp2-equality-analysis.pdf</a>